Ĭ	Case 3:06-cv-05715-RBL Document 7	Filed 05/14/07 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
11	AT TACOMA	
12	KAAI J. WILLIAMS, JR.,	
13	Petitioner,	
14	v.	Case No. C06-5715 RBL/KLS
15 16	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	This habeas corpus petition has been referre	ed to United States Magistrate Judge Karen L.
20	Strombom pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local MJR 3 and 4. Petitioner seeks federal	
21	habeas corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (Dkt. # 1).	
22	On January 29, 2007, Petitioner was ordered to amend his habeas corpus petition to correctly	
23	name the Respondent. (Dkt. # 3). Petitioner was advised that 28 U.S.C. § 2243 requires that writs	
24	are to be directed "to the person having custody of the person detained," typically the superintendent	
25	of the facility in which the Petitioner is incarcerated. Petitioner was further advised that failure to	
26	name the Petitioner's custodian deprives federal courts of personal jurisdiction. Stanley v. California	
27		
28	ORDER	

Supreme Court, 21 F.3d 359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994). Petitioner was given until March 2, 2007 to file an amended petition or a motion to change the case caption. Petitioner filed an amended petition on February 23, 2007, naming Sandra Carter, John Aldana, Les Schneider, Robert O'Neil K. Grubb, Steven Blakeman, Sandy Dimmel, Norman Bright, and Nancy Winters as Defendants. The amended petition did not comply with 28 U.S.C. § 2243. Petitioner was given until April 27, 2007 to filed an amended petition and was told to name only the person having custody over him – the immediate custodian is generally the warden of the prison. (Dkt. #5). Petitioner filed an amended petition, naming the Department of Corrections and Sandra Carter. Sandra Carter is not the current warden of Clallam Bay Corrections Center. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: 1. Petitioner shall show cause why his petition for writ of habeas corpus should not be dismissed for failure to name a proper respondent. A response is due by June 15, 2007. If Petitioner fails to file a response or the response shows the Petitioner cannot go forward the court will enter a report and recommendation that the petition be dismissed. The Court Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to Petitioner and to note this matter for the court's **June 15, 2007** calendar. DATED this 11th day of May, 2007.

United States Magistrate Judge

1920

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 ORDER